

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/020,747	11/30/2001		Tiecheng A. Qiao	82429D-W	9726
75	590	12/01/2003	EXAMINER		
Paul A. Leipo	ld		BISSETT, MELANIE D		
Patent Legal Sta Eastman Kodak	aff	v	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street	•	,	1731		
Rochester, NY	14650-2	2201	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/020,747		QIAO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Melanie D. E		1711					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address	5				
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ly within the statuto will apply and will e e, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be time bry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this commun (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 S	September 20	<u>03</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non	action is non-final.						
3)									
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election req	luirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er,							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)] objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-18	52.				
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document certified copies of the	ts have been ts have been brity documen or (PCT Rule to of the certificatic priority underst sentence covisional applicic priority underst	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or dication has been received der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stag d. e) (to a provisional app in an Application Data eived. and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet. ecific				
Attachmen			n.□	(OTO 110) D					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u>	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1711

1. The rejections based on 35 USC 103 have been maintained in part and withdrawn in part based on the applicant's amendments.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 12 has been amended to include the limitation that the ALB compound is affixed *while* coating the gelatin on the substrate. However, claim 14 states that the ALB compound is affixed *after* coating gelatin on the substrate. Therefore, the contradiction between claim 14 and claim 12 prevents claim 14 from further limiting claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zyomyx, Inc. in view of Marks et al.
- From a prior Office action:
 - 3. Zyomyx discloses protein arrays comprising a substrate, an organic thin film, and proteins immobilized on the thin film (p. 5 lines 6-12). The substrates may be coated before the addition of the organic thin film (p. 19 lines 20-29), where the organic thin film is preferably a monolayer having the formula X-R-Y which fits the applicant's trifunctional compound (p. 22 lines 8-20). The linking group R preferably comprises an alkyl chain having from 8-22 carbons, suggesting through-bond paths connecting the functional groups of not greater than 10 atoms (p. 25 line 27-

Art Unit: 1711

p. 26 line 5). The functional group X interacts with the substrate or coated substrate by chemical or physical means, where X includes isothiocyanate and isocyanate groups (p. 26 lines 14-22). Functional group Y interacts with a protein by covalent or non-covalent linkage, where Y includes aldehydes, maleimides, iodoacetyl, hydrazide, epoxy, aziridine, vinylsulfone, isothiocyanate, and isocyanates groups (p. 28 line 9-p. 29 line 9). Additionally, an affinity tag attached to the Y functional group include glutathione S-transferase or streptavidin groups (p. 33 lines 19-21). Antibodies, known protein capture agents, are noted as proteins to be immobilized on the thin film (p. 33 lines 1-11). A plurality of proteins are attached to different patches on the substrate (p. 5 lines 1-25). However, the reference does not point to gelatin as a coating substance for the substrates. Marks teaches protein assays using coated substrates, teaching the conventionality of coating gelatin on substrates to reduce non-specific binding (col. 32 line 59-col. 33 line 5; col. 33 lines 39-43). Such coatings also simplify covalent conjugation and enhance signal detection. Because Zyomyx is also concerned with non-specific binding (p. 12 lines 17-19), it is the examiner's position that it would have been prima facie obvious to include a gelatin on Zyomyx's substrate to reduce non-specific binding, simplify covalent conjugation, and enhance signal detection.

4. Regarding the coating coverage of gelatin on the substrate, it is the examiner's position that it would have been prima facie obvious to coat any amount necessary to optimize the non-specific binding, covalent conjugation, and signal detection properties of the coating.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-12 and 15 are allowed.
- 7. From a prior Office action:

The closest prior art, Zyomyx, discloses a method of making a protein array, where a coating is deposited or formed prior to the formation of an organic thin film that fits the applicant's formula of claim 12. The coating methods employed do not suggest the simultaneous formation coating of the gelatin and organic thin film layers, and the reference does not suggest the blending of the coating and organic thin film layers. It is the examiner's position that the applicant's claimed method, including the simultaneous coating of gelatin and trifunctional compound, would provide a novel and unobvious step over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

8. In response to the applicant's arguments that the present invention does not consist of patches on a surface but instead is drawn to a continuous coating, it is noted

Art Unit: 1711

that the claims do not exclude patches of coating. The claims simply call for a support having two components coated thereon. Also, it could be argued that a patch is a continuous coating to the extent that the patch is coated.

- 9. Regarding the arguments that the present invention coats the ALB component with the gelatin, note that all pending rejections are drawn to claims that do not specify such a limitation.
- 10. Regarding the applicant's arguments that Marks et al. does not teach the coating of gelatin on the substrate for a protein array, it is noted that the reference specifically teaches preparing a surface of a substrate by coating it with gelatin (col. 33 lines 39-44). The substrates noted in Marks encompass the same as those noted in Zyomyx, Inc. Both references teach the formation of a capture agent on a substrate. It is the examiner's position that the references have been properly combined, and the rejection is maintained. Note that the reference cited by the applicant "Elisa: Theory and Practice" is not of record.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1711

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie D. Bissett whose telephone number is (703) 308-6539 or (571) 272-1068 after December 2003. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINES

mdb